

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [East Anglia ONE North](#); [East Anglia Two](#)  
**Subject:** Issue Specific Hearing 2  
**Date:** 15 December 2020 15:45:23  
**Attachments:** [Issue Specific Hearing 2.docx](#)

---

Dear Sirs

Please find attached our comments on points made at Issue Specific Hearing 2.  
ID 20023256 & ID 20023257

Yours faithfully

Louise & Derek Chadwick

[REDACTED]

## Comments on Issue Specific Hearing 2 – EA1N & EA2

ID 20023256 & ID 20023257

Having heard their contributions at Issue Specific Hearing 2 we fully endorse the contributions made by Suffolk County and East Suffolk Councils relating to cumulative impacts in Items 2, a) and b).

We fully support all the contributions made at ISH2 by Graeme Keen QC, SASSES, Items 2 c), d) and e) and Fiona Gilmore, SEAS, Items 2 a)/b)/c), d) and e) in particular. It is obviously vital National Grid are involved in these examinations for you to be able to properly assess the projects earmarked for connection at Friston and the resulting cumulative impacts.

We also endorse the points made by Aldeburgh Town Councillor Marianne Fellows at ISH2, relating to Items 2 b)/c), d) and e). Her point about pausing the applications for EA1N and EA2 is particularly important in the light of comments made by Ofgem and offshore grid connections, as these wind farms intend to start operating within the Ofgem time frame.

Additionally, the points Councillor Fellows made on Item 4 a) relating to landfall at Thorpeness and the apparent lack of proper assessment by SPR of the cliff and soils suggesting that SPR have submitted their DCO application prematurely is significant and of concern to us. We share the concerns Ms Gilmore, SEAS, made relating to the Thorpeness cliff and drilling methodology. We found Mr Reeves, Save our Sandlings, comments on the depth of cable burial below the beach (3m, the same burial depth as SPR intend to use) and exposure of the Concertina cable buried at the same depth but uncovered by weather, tides and tidal surges in 2018. These points demonstrate the lack of knowledge of the changing coastline and lack of proper research into the specific environments SPR propose to destroy.

Regarding Item 2 d) you have been informed the technology exists to use interim connection measures. If EA1N and EA2 offshore elements cannot be connected offshore initially then brownfield sites should be used.

You have mentioned further site visits. Whilst in the area it would be worth you revisiting sites now that leaves have fallen from all but the most stubborn of our beautiful oak trees to reassess the exposure of our lovely flat landscape.